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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ABSTRACT. Let } \mathscr{L}(x) \text { denote the counting function for Lucas pseudoprimes, } \\
& \text { and } \mathscr{E}(x) \text { denote the elliptic pseudoprime counting function. We prove that, } \\
& \text { for large } x, \mathscr{L}(x) \leq x L(x)^{-1 / 2} \text { and } \mathscr{E}(x) \leq x L(x)^{-1 / 3} \text {, where } \\
& \qquad L(x)=\exp (\log x \log \log \log x / \log \log x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

A pseudoprime is a composite number $n$ for which $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \bmod n$. The smallest pseudoprime is 341 . Let $\mathscr{P}(x)$ be the number of pseudoprimes up to $x$. The second author, in $[12,13]$, showed that for all large $x$

$$
\exp \left\{(\log x)^{5 / 14}\right\} \leq \mathscr{P}(x) \leq x L(x)^{-1 / 2}
$$

where $L(x)=\exp \left(\log x \log _{3} x / \log _{2} x\right)$ and $\log _{k}$ is the $k$-fold iteration of the natural logarithm. The exponent $5 / 14$ has since been improved to $85 / 207$ (see [14]).

Let $P$ and $Q$ be coprime integers with $D=P^{2}-4 Q \neq 0, P>0$ and $P Q \neq 1$. Let $U_{0}=0, U_{1}=1$, and $U_{k}=P U_{k-1}-Q U_{k-2}$ for $k \geq 2$. Then a composite number $n$ is a Lucas pseudoprime if $(n, 2 D)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n-\varepsilon(n)} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod n), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(n)$ denotes the Jacobi symbol $(D \mid n)$. Let $\mathscr{L}(x)=\mathscr{L}_{P, Q}(x)$ be the number of Lucas pseudoprimes up to $x$. The best known bounds for $\mathscr{L}(x)$ are:

$$
\exp \left\{(\log x)^{c_{1}}\right\} \leq \mathscr{L}(x) \leq x \cdot \exp \left\{-c_{2}\left(\log x \log _{2} x\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

for some absolute positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. The upper bound is due to Baillie and Wagstaff [1], and the lower bound is due to Erdös, Kiss, and Sárközy [5]. Of course, the counting function $\mathscr{L}(x)$ depends on the choice of $P$ and $Q$. The above result is thus understood to hold for all $x \geq x_{0}(P, Q)$.

[^0]The first author introduced a similar test using elliptic curves. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{Q}$ with complex multiplication by an order in $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r})$, for $r \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, and suppose $E$ has a rational point $P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of infinite order. Then, if $n$ is a prime which is inert in $K$ and does not divide the discriminant of $E$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n+1) P \equiv \mathscr{O} \quad(\bmod n) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, when we view $E$ as an elliptic curve over the finite field $\mathbf{Z} / n \mathbf{Z}$, the image of the point $P$ has order dividing $n+1$. An elliptic pseudoprime is a composite number $n$ for which $(-r \mid n)=-1, n$ is coprime to the discriminant of $E$, and $n$ satisfies (2). (The concept of $(n+1) P \equiv \mathscr{O}(\bmod n)$ for composite $n$ will be made precise in the next section.) Let $\mathscr{E}(x)=\mathscr{E}_{E, P}(x)$ be the number of elliptic pseudoprimes less than $x$. The best known upper bound for elliptic pseudoprimes was recently found by Balasubramanian and Murty, in [2]: for all sufficiently large $x$ depending on the choice of curve $E$ and point $P$, we have

$$
\mathscr{E}(x) \leq x \cdot \exp \left\{-c_{3}\left(\log x \log _{2} x\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

The number $c_{3}$ is positive and absolute. No good general lower bounds for elliptic pseudoprimes are known; the only result is from [6], that for certain curves and points,

$$
\mathscr{E}(x) \geq \sqrt{\log x} / \log _{2} x
$$

In this paper we improve the upper bounds for $\mathscr{E}(x)$ and $\mathscr{L}(x)$. The techniques used are similar to those of [12], with modifications to deal with elliptic curves similar to those of [2]. We show that $\mathscr{E}(x) \leq x L(x)^{-1 / 3}$ and $\mathscr{L}(x) \leq x L(x)^{-1 / 2}$ for large $x$.

Throughout the paper, the letters $p$ and $q$ will always denote primes.

## 2. Elliptic curve preliminaries

For a field $k$ of characteristic $>3$, an elliptic curve over $k$ may be represented as

$$
E(k)=\left\{(x, y) \in k^{2}: y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b\right\} \cup \mathscr{O},
$$

where $a, b \in k$ and $\mathscr{O}$ is the point at infinity. $E$ is nonsingular if the discriminant $\Delta=-16\left(4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}\right) \neq 0$. In this case, $E(k)$ can be naturally made into an additive group with $\mathcal{O}$ being the identity element.

Suppose $E$ is a nonsingular elliptic curve defined over $\mathbf{Q}$. Let End $E$ denote the ring of endomorphisms of $E(\mathbf{Q})$. It is known that End $E$ is either equal to $\mathbf{Z}$ or an order in an imaginary quadratic field $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r})$. In the latter case, $E$ is said to have complex multiplication by $K$. For instance, curves of the form $y^{2}=x^{3}-D x$ have complex multiplication by $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$; the endomorphism corresponding to $i$ sends a point $(x, y)$ to ( $-x, i y$ ).

If $E$ is defined over $\mathbf{Q}$ and has complex multiplication by $K$, then $K$ must have class number one, so that $r \in\{1,2,3,7,11,19,43,67,163\}$. Conversely, for each such $r$ there are elliptic curves with complex multiplication by
$O_{K}$, the full ring of integers of $K$. In addition, the fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ have curves over $\mathbf{Q}$ with End $E=\mathbf{Z}+2 O_{K}$, and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ has curves with End $E=\mathbf{Z}+3 O_{K}$.

For a rational number $x$, let $u / v$ be its representation in lowest terms, where $v>0$. Then $\operatorname{Num}(x)=u$ will denote its numerator, $\operatorname{Den}(x)=v$ its denominator, and $\tilde{x}=u v$ their product.

Let $E(\mathbf{Q})$ be a nonsingular elliptic curve defined by the equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+$ $a x+b$, where the coefficients $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$. If $p$ is a prime with $(p, 6 \widetilde{\Delta})=1$, by an abuse of notation, we can use this same equation to define a nonsingular elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$ over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$, the field of $p$ elements. In fact, there is a natural homomorphic projection $E(\mathbf{Q}) \rightarrow E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$ which takes $(x, y) \in E(\mathbf{Q})$ to $(x \bmod p, y \bmod p)$. If one of $x, y$ has a factor $p$ in the denominator, then $(x, y)$ maps to $\mathscr{O}$ in $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$.

A celebrated theorem of Hasse is that for any nonsingular elliptic curve $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$, the number of points can be expressed as $p+1-a_{p}$, where $\left|a_{p}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{p}$. There is a polynomial-time, deterministic algorithm, due to Schoof [15], for computing the number $a_{p}$. Nevertheless, for very large $p$, it is not an easy task to compute the order of $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$.

If $E$ has complex multiplication by $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r})$, it is easier to compute $\left|E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)\right|$ :

$$
\left|E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)\right|= \begin{cases}p+1, & p \text { inert in } K,  \tag{3}\\ p+1-2 \beta, & p=(\beta+\gamma \sqrt{-r})(\beta-\gamma \sqrt{-r})\end{cases}
$$

where $2 \beta, 2 \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}$. Note that if $p$ splits in $K$, formula (3) does not quite give $\left|E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)\right|$, since we do not know the sign of $\beta$ (and if $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ or $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, there are extra units which add a few more possibilities). However, this is the only indeterminacy in (3), since primes $p$ which split in $K$ have a unique representation up to units as $\beta^{2}+r \gamma^{2}$.

The representation of $p$ as $\beta^{2}+r \gamma^{2}$ can be found in random polynomial time by factoring the polynomial $x^{2}+r$ in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$, using Berlekamp's algorithm [3]. Once a number $c$ is found such that $c^{2}+r \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, one may use the method of Cornacchia [4] to determine $\beta$ and $\gamma$.

Determining the sign of $\beta$ in (3) can in principle be done using class field theory; it is worked out for $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ in [11].

For a nonsingular curve $E(\mathbf{Q})$ with coefficients $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$, define the division polynomial $\psi_{n}(x, y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{0}=0 \\
& \psi_{1}=1 \\
& \psi_{2}=2 y \\
& \psi_{3}=3 x^{4}+6 a x^{2}+12 b x-a^{2} \\
& \psi_{4}=4 y\left(x^{6}+5 a x^{4}+20 b x^{3}-5 a^{2} x^{2}-4 a b x-8 b^{2}-a^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the recursion

$$
\psi_{m+n} \psi_{m-n}=\psi_{m-1} \psi_{m+1} \psi_{n}^{2}-\psi_{n-1} \psi_{n+1} \psi_{m}^{2}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{2 n+1}=\psi_{n}^{3} \psi_{n+2}-\psi_{n+1}^{3} \psi_{n-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 y \psi_{2 n}=\psi_{n}\left(\psi_{n+2} \psi_{n-1}^{2}-\psi_{n-2} \psi_{n+1}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The division polynomials characterize the division points of $E(\mathbf{Q})$. Namely, $P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in E(\mathbf{Q})$ is an $m$-division point (i.e., $\left.m P=\mathscr{O}\right)$ if and only if $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=0$. This continues to make sense if we replace $\mathbf{Q}$ by some algebraic extension. However, we are primarily concerned here with the connection between the division polynomials and division points on $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$.

We now state three lemmas on division polynomials. See Chapter II of Lang [10] for many facts about these polynomials and, in particular, the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose $E(\mathbf{Q})$ is a nonsingular elliptic curve with coefficients $a, b \in$ $\mathbf{Q}$, and let $P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ be a point of infinite order on $E(\mathbf{Q})$. For a prime $p$ with $(p, 6 \tilde{\Delta})=1$, let $\bar{P}$ be the image of $P$ in $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$. Suppose $2 \bar{P} \neq \mathcal{O}$ on $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$. Then for any integer $m>2$ we have

$$
m \bar{P}=\mathscr{O} \text { in } E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \Leftrightarrow \psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

Of course, we understand the rational number $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ to be $0(\bmod p)$ if in reduced form its numerator is $0(\bmod p)$.

The second lemma involves the size of the values of the division polynomials.
Lemma 2. Suppose $E$ is a nonsingular elliptic curve, and $P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is a point in $E(\mathbf{Q})$ of infinite order. Then for all natural numbers $m$,

$$
\left|\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|<c^{m^{2}-3}
$$

for some constant $c$ depending on the choice of curve $E$ and point $P$.
Proof. Choose $c$ such that $c^{6} \geq \max \left\{2, y_{0}^{-2}\right\}$ and $\left|\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|<c^{m^{2}-3}$ for $m=2,3,4$. It is easy to show by induction that $\left|\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right|<c^{m^{2}-3}$ holds for all $m$, using (4) and (5).

Corollary 1. For $E$ and $P$ as in Lemmas 1 and 2, the number of primes $p$ for which $m P=\mathscr{O}$ in $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$ is $O\left(m^{2}\right)$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, all such primes $p$ divide the numerator of $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, and by Lemma 2, $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=O\left(c^{m^{2}}\right)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that the denominator of $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is bounded by $c_{2}^{m^{2}}$.

Suppose we give a grading to the ring $\mathbf{Z}[a, b, x, y]$ by giving $a$ weight 4, $b$ weight $6, x$ weight 2 , and $y$ weight 3 . Then $\psi_{m}(x, y)$ is homogeneous
of weight $m^{2}-1$ with respect to this grading [10, p. 39]. Therefore, the denominator of $\psi_{m}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is less than

$$
\left|\operatorname{Den}\left(y_{0}\right)^{m^{2} / 3} \operatorname{Den}\left(x_{0}\right)^{m^{2} / 2} \operatorname{Den}(a)^{m^{2} / 4} \operatorname{Den}(b)^{m^{2} / 6}\right|<c_{2}^{m^{2}}
$$

Corollary 1 implies the case $r=1$ of Lemma 14 in Gupta and Murty [7]. They prove a more general result using a considerably more involved argument.

Suppose $E(\mathbf{Q}), P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, and $p$ are as in Lemma 1, and $E(\mathbf{Q})$ has complex multiplication by $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r})$, where $(-r \mid p)=-1$. Suppose $2 \bar{P} \neq \mathscr{O}$ on $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$. From (3), $(p+1) \bar{P}=\mathscr{O}$ in $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$, so that by Lemma 1,

$$
\psi_{p+1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p)
$$

The key observation is that even if we do not know for sure that $p$ is prime, we can still check if the congruence $\psi_{p+1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ holds. We say a composite natural number $n$ which satisfies $(n, 6 \widetilde{\Delta})=1$ and $(-r \mid n)=-1$ is an elliptic pseudoprime (for the curve $E$ and the point $P$ ) if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, n\right)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \psi_{n+1}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod n) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is what we mean by the congruence in (2) for $n$ composite. Note that if $n$ is prime, then the condition $\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, n\right)=1$ assures that $2 \bar{P} \neq \mathscr{O}$ on $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{n}\right)$.

For any natural number $m$ with $\left(m, 6 \widetilde{\Delta} \tilde{y}_{0}\right)=1$, define $e_{m}=e_{m}(P)$ as the least positive number $k$ for which $\psi_{k}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$. (If no such $k$ exists, or if $\left(m, 6 \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y}_{0}\right)>1$, define $e_{m}=\infty$.) We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3. If $m$ is a positive squarefree number with $\left(m, 6 \tilde{\Delta} \tilde{y}_{0}\right)=1$, then $e_{m}=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{e_{q}: q \mid m\right\}$ and

$$
\psi_{k}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod m) \Leftrightarrow e_{m} \mid k
$$

Proof. The lemma is true for primes by Lemma 1, since $e_{p}$ is the order of the point $\bar{P}$ in $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)$. Suppose $m=q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{s}$, with the $q_{i}$ 's distinct primes. Let $l=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{e_{q_{1}}, \ldots, e_{q_{s}}\right\}$. Then $\psi_{l}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod m)$, so $e_{m} \leq l$. But $\psi_{e_{m}}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod q_{i}\right)$ for each $q_{i}$, so each $e_{q_{i}} \mid e_{m}$. Thus $e_{m}=l$. The second assertion in the lemma follows from similar considerations.

A similar lemma was proved by Ward [16] for $a, b, x_{0}, y_{0} \in \mathbf{Z}$, without the restriction that $m$ be squarefree.

## 3. Elliptic PSEUDOPRIMES

Let $E(\mathbf{Q})$ be a nonsingular elliptic curve with coefficients $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ and complex multiplication by $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-r})$, a complex quadratic field with class number one, and let $P=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in E(\mathbf{Q})$ have infinite order.

Theorem 1. There is a constant $X_{0}=X_{0}(E, P)$ such that if $n$ is a natural number and $x \geq X_{0}$ then

$$
\#\left\{m \leq x: m \text { is squarefree and } e_{m}=n\right\} \leq x \cdot \exp \left(-\log x \frac{3+\log _{3} x}{3 \log _{2} x}\right)
$$

Proof. Unlike the function $l_{2}(m)$ used in [12], $e_{m}$ may be greater than $m$. Thus, $n$ in the theorem may be greater than $x$. To determine an upper bound for $n$, if $m \leq x$ is squarefree and $e_{m}=n$, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{m} \leq \prod_{q \mid m}(q+1+2 \sqrt{q}) \leq m \prod_{q \mid m}\left(1+\frac{3}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \leq x \prod_{q \leq 2 \log x}\left(1+\frac{3}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x$ so large that $x \leq \prod_{q \leq 2 \log x} q$. That such an inequality should eventually hold follows from the prime number theorem. Using partial summation and the prime number theorem, we have

$$
\log \prod_{q \leq 2 \log x}\left(1+\frac{3}{\sqrt{q}}\right) \ll \sum_{q \leq 2 \log x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \ll \frac{(\log x)^{1 / 2}}{\log _{2} x},
$$

and with (7) this implies that $e_{m} \leq x^{1+\varepsilon}$, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and $x \geq x_{0}(\varepsilon)$. We shall take $\varepsilon=1 / 2$ and shall assume $n$ in the theorem satisfies $n \leq x^{3 / 2}$.

Let $c=1-\left(4+\log _{3} x\right) /\left(3 \log _{2} x\right)$, and $c^{\prime}=c-1 /\left(3 \log _{2} x\right)$, with $x$ large enough so that $c^{\prime} \geq 7 / 8$. Then we need to estimate:

$$
\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ e_{m}=n}} 1 \leq x^{c} \sum_{e_{m}=n} m^{-c} \leq x^{c} \sum_{p\left|m \Rightarrow e_{p}\right| n} m^{-c}=x^{c} \prod_{e_{p} \mid n}\left(1-p^{-c}\right)^{-1}=x^{c} A
$$

say. To prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=o\left(\log x / \log _{2} x\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c \geq 7 / 8$, we have

$$
\log A=\sum_{e_{p} \mid n} p^{-c}+O(1)=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{e_{p}=d} p^{-c}+O(1) .
$$

There are only a finite number of primes $p$ with $e_{p}=d$ for $d=1$ or 2 , since those primes divide either the numerator of $y_{0}($ for $d=2)$ or the denominator of $y_{0}$ (for $d=1$ ). Assume now that $d \geq 3$.

By Corollary 1, there are at most $\alpha d^{2}$ primes $p$ with $e_{p}=d$, where $\alpha$ is a constant depending only on $E$ and $P$. Call them $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{t}$, where $0 \leq t \leq \alpha d^{2}$.

For each $q_{i}, E\left(\mathbf{F}_{q_{i}}\right)$ has order $k d$, where $k d$ is a multiple of $d$ satisfying

$$
q_{i}+1-2 \sqrt{q_{i}} \leq k d \leq q_{i}+1+2 \sqrt{q_{i}} .
$$

Therefore, we have $q_{i}>k d / 2$. If $q_{i}$ is inert in $K$, then $k d=q_{i}+1$. If $q_{i}$ splits, say $q_{i}=(a+\sqrt{-r} b)(a-\sqrt{-r} b)=a^{2}+r b^{2}$, then by (3)

$$
k d=q_{i}+1-2 a=a^{2}-2 a+1+r b^{2}=(a-1)^{2}+r b^{2} .
$$

The number of representations of $k d$ as $\beta^{2}+r \gamma^{2}$ with $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$ is at most the number of divisors, $\tau(k d)$, of $k d$ (see, for example, Theorem 54 of [9]). In sum, the number of $q_{i}$ with the order of $E\left(\mathbf{F}_{q_{i}}\right)$ being $k d$ is at most $2 \tau(k d)+1<3 \tau(k d)$, and all of these $q_{i}$ satisfy $q_{i}>k d / 2$. From these facts, if $d \geq 3$,

$$
\sum_{e_{p}=d} p^{-c}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} q_{i}^{-c} \leq 6 \sum_{k=1}^{t} \tau(k d)(k d)^{-c} \leq 6 \tau(d) d^{-c} \sum_{k=1}^{\left[\alpha d^{2}\right]} \tau(k) k^{-c}
$$

Using partial summation, and $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \tau(k)=N \log N+O(N)$ (see [8, Theorem 320, p. 264]), this is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =6 \frac{\alpha^{1-c}}{1-c} \tau(d) d^{2-3 c}(2 \log d+\log \alpha)(1+o(1))  \tag{9}\\
& \ll(1-c)^{-1} \tau(d) d^{2-3 c} \log d
\end{align*}
$$

To get rid of the $\log d$ factor, note that

$$
\log d \ll \max \left\{d^{1 / \log _{2} x}, \log _{2} x \log _{3} x\right\} \leq d^{1 / \log _{2} x} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x
$$

Therefore,

$$
d^{2-3 c} \log d \ll d^{2-3 c^{\prime}} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x
$$

so that (9) implies

$$
\sum_{e_{p}=d} p^{-c} \ll(1-c)^{-1} \tau(d) d^{2-3 c^{\prime}} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x
$$

From the above computations, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\log A & \ll(1-c)^{-1} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x \sum_{d \mid n} \tau(d) d^{2-3 c^{\prime}} \\
& <(1-c)^{-1} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1+2 p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}+3\left(p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right)  \tag{10}\\
& =(1-c)^{-1} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}\right)^{-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2-3 c^{\prime} \leq-5 / 8$, we have

$$
\log \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}\right)^{-2}=2 \sum_{p \mid n} p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}+O(1) \leq 2 \sum_{p \leq 2 \log x} p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}+O(1)
$$

where $x$ is large enough that $\prod_{p \leq 2 \log x} p \geq x^{3 / 2}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}\right)^{-2} \ll \frac{(\log x)^{3-3 c^{\prime}}}{\left(3-3 c^{\prime}\right) \log _{2} x} \ll \frac{\log _{2} x}{\log _{3} x} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $x$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$
\prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{2-3 c^{\prime}}\right)^{-2} \leq(\log x)^{1 / 2}
$$

and with (10) we get

$$
\log A \ll \frac{\log _{2} x}{\log _{3} x} \log _{2} x \log _{3} x(\log x)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is $o\left(\log x / \log _{2} x\right)$.
Theorem 2. For all sufficiently large $x$, depending on the choice of $E$ and $P$, the number of elliptic pseudoprimes for $E, P$ up to $x$ is at most

$$
x \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{\log x \log _{3} x}{3 \log _{2} x}\right) .
$$

Proof. As is now customary with proofs of upper bounds on pseudoprimes, we will divide the elliptic pseudoprimes $n \leq x$ into several possibly overlapping classes:
(i) $n \leq x L(x)^{-1}$,
(ii) there is a prime $p \mid n$ with $e_{p} \leq L(x)^{3}$ and $p>L(x)^{10}$,
(iii) there is a prime $p \mid n$ with $e_{p}>L(x)^{3}$ and $p \leq 3 x / L(x)$,
(iv) there is a prime $p \mid n$ inert in $K$ with $e_{p}>L(x)^{3}$,
(v) there is a prime $p \mid n$ which splits in $K$ with $L(x)^{3}<e_{p} \leq \sqrt{x} L(x)$ and $p>3 x / L(x)$,
(vi) there is a prime $p \mid n$ which splits in $K$ with $e_{p}>\sqrt{x} L(x)$ and $p>3 x / L(x)$,
(vii) $n>x L(x)^{-1}$ and every prime $p \mid n$ is at most $L(x)^{10}$.

Clearly, the number of $n$ in class (i) is at most $x L(x)^{-1}$.
From Corollary 1, the number of primes $p$ with $e_{p}=m$ is $O\left(m^{2}\right)$. Thus, the number of primes $p$ with $e_{p} \leq L(x)^{3}$ is

$$
\sum_{m \leq L(x)^{3}} \sum_{e_{p}=m} 1 \ll \sum_{m \leq L(x)^{3}} m^{2}<L(x)^{9}
$$

Therefore, the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class (ii) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p>L(x)^{10} \\ e_{p} \leq L(x)^{3}}} x / p<x L(x)^{-10} \sum_{e_{p} \leq L(x)^{3}} 1 \ll x L(x)^{-1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ is a prime dividing an elliptic pseudoprime $n$, then from Lemma 3 (with $m=p$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p), \quad n+1 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod e_{p}\right), \quad\left(p, e_{p}\right)=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number of $n \leq x$ satisfying these conditions is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{x}{p e_{p}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class (iii) is at most

$$
\sum_{\substack{p \leq 3 x / L(x) \\ e_{p}>L(x)^{3}}}\left(1+\frac{x}{p e_{p}}\right) \leq \sum_{p \leq 3 x / L(x)} 1+\sum_{\substack{p \leq 3 x / L(x) \\ e_{p}>L(x)^{3}}} \frac{x}{p e_{p}}
$$

The first sum on the right is at most $3 x / L(x)$, and the final sum is at most of order $x \log _{2} x / L(x)^{3}$. Thus, the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class (iii) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll \frac{x}{L(x)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ is inert in $K, e_{p} \mid(p+1)$, and so $n=p$ is a solution to (13). This solution is prime, so the number of elliptic pseudoprimes divisible by $p$ is at most $x / p e_{p}$. Therefore, the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class (iv) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{2<p \leq x \\ e_{p}>L(x)^{3}}} \frac{x}{p e_{p}} \ll \frac{x \log _{2} x}{L(x)^{3}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the special prime $p$ dividing an elliptic pseudoprime $n$ in class (v), let $k=n / p$, and $l=e_{p}$. Since $p$ splits, we have $p=\beta^{2}+r \gamma^{2}$ for some $|\beta|,|\gamma|<\sqrt{x}$, where $2 \beta, 2 \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}$. From (3), we have $p \equiv 2 \beta-1\left(\bmod e_{p}\right)$, since $e_{p}| | E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n+1=k p+1 \equiv k(2 \beta-1)+1 \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod l), \quad|\beta|<\sqrt{x} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that possible integers $2 \beta$ fall in a unique congruence class mod $l /(k, l)$. For a fixed $k$ and $l$, the number of $\beta$ satisfying (17) is at most

$$
\frac{4 \sqrt{x}}{l}(k, l)+O(1)
$$

For each $\beta$ and $l$, the number of solutions $\gamma$ to

$$
\left|E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right)\right|=\beta^{2}+r \gamma^{2}+1-2 \beta \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod l)
$$

is bounded by $\tau(4 l /(r, 4 l))(r, 4 l) \ll \tau(l)$, since $r \ll 1$. Since $|\gamma|<\sqrt{x}$, the number of $\gamma$ 's corresponding to any $\beta$ and $l$ is thus

$$
\ll\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{l}+O(1)\right) \tau(l)
$$

Summing over $k$ and $l$ shows the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class (v) to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll \sum_{\substack{k \leq L(x) \\
L(x)^{3}<l \leq \sqrt{x} L(x)}}\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{l}(k, l)+O(1)\right)\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{l}+O(1)\right) \tau(l) \\
& =x \sum_{k, l} \frac{(k, l) \tau(l)}{l^{2}}+O\left(\sqrt{x} \sum_{k, l} \frac{(k, l) \tau(l)}{l}\right)+O\left(\sum_{k, l} \tau(l)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The final sum is easily seen to be $O\left(\sqrt{x} L(x)^{2} \log x\right)$. The second sum is

$$
\ll \sqrt{x} L(x) \sum_{k, l} \frac{\tau(l)}{l} \leq \sqrt{x} L(x)^{2} \sum_{l} \frac{\tau(l)}{l} \ll \sqrt{x} L(x)^{2} \log ^{2} x .
$$

Finally, the first sum is

$$
\leq x L(x) \sum_{k, l} \frac{\tau(l)}{l^{2}} \leq x L(x)^{2} \sum_{l} \frac{\tau(l)}{l^{2}} \leq \frac{x}{L(x)} \sum_{l} \frac{\tau(l)}{l} \ll \frac{x \log ^{2} x}{L(x)} .
$$

Combining these estimates shows that the number of elliptic pseudoprimes in class ( v ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ll \frac{x \log ^{2} x}{L(x)} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate the size of class (vi), let $n=k p$ for some $k>1$. We have $p \equiv-1+a_{p}\left(\bmod e_{p}\right)$, since $e_{p}| | E\left(\mathbf{F}_{p}\right) \mid=p+1-a_{p}$. Since $n+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod e_{p}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k p+1 \equiv k\left(a_{p}-1\right)+1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod e_{p}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\left|k\left(a_{p}-1\right)+1\right| \geq e_{p}>\sqrt{x} L(x)
$$

Since $\left|a_{p}\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{p}$, this means that $k>L(x) / 3$. But then, $n=k p>x$, and so class (vi) is empty for $x$ sufficiently large.

We will divide the pseudoprimes in class (vii) into two subclasses: those which have a squareful divisor $s$ (i.e., for each prime $p$ dividing $s, p^{2}$ also divides $s$ ) with $s>L(x)^{2}$, and those which do not. The number of $n<x$ in the first subclass is at most

$$
\sum_{\substack{s>L(x)^{2} \\ s \text { squareful }}} \frac{x}{s} \ll \frac{x}{L(x)},
$$

using partial summation and the theorem that $\sum_{s \leq t, s \text { squareful }} 1 \ll \sqrt{t}$.
For the rest of class (vii), we have $x / L(x)<n \leq x$, every prime $p \mid n$ satisfies $p \leq L(x)^{10}$, and the squareful part of $n$ does not exceed $L(x)^{2}$. Then $n$ has a squarefree divisor $d$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x / L(x)^{13}<d \leq x / L(x)^{3} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For let $m=$ the largest squarefree divisor of $n$. Then $x / L(x)^{3}<m \leq x$. We have some $d \mid m$ with $x / L(x)^{13}<d \leq x / L(x)^{3}$. But $d$ is squarefree and $d \mid n$.)

As in (13), we have from Lemma 3 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod d), \quad n+1 \equiv 0 \quad\left(\bmod e_{d}\right), \quad\left(d, e_{d}\right)=1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the number of such $n$ is at most

$$
\sum^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{x}{d e_{d}}\right) \leq \frac{x}{L(x)}+x \sum^{\prime} \frac{1}{d e_{d}}=\frac{x}{L(x)}+x \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{e_{d}=m}^{\prime} \frac{1}{d},
$$

where $\sum^{\prime}$ means the sum is over squarefree $d$ in the range (20). By Theorem 1 , and a partial summation argument, the inner sum is at most

$$
\exp \left(-\log x \frac{2+\log _{3} x}{3 \log _{2} x}\right)
$$

uniformly in $m$, provided $x$ is sufficiently large. Therefore, the number of $n$ in class (vii) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot \exp \left(-\log x \frac{1+\log _{3} x}{3 \log _{2} x}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $x$.
Summing the estimates for each of the classes gives the theorem.

## 4. LUCAS PSEUDOPRIMES

The proof of the bound for $\mathscr{L}(x)$ will be similar to the proof for $\mathscr{E}(x)$. First we will need a few facts about Lucas pseudoprimes. See [1] for proofs.

Let $\omega_{m}$ denote the rank of apparition of $m$ in the Lucas sequence $U_{k}$; i.e., the least positive $k$ for which $m \mid U_{k}$. If $(p, 2 D Q)=1$, we have

$$
\omega_{p} \mid(p-\varepsilon(p)),
$$

where we recall that $\varepsilon(p)=(D \mid p)$. Further, $\omega_{p^{k}} \mid p^{k-1} \omega_{p}$, and for any $m$ with $(m, 2 D Q)=1$, we have $\omega_{m}=\operatorname{lcm}\left\{\omega_{p^{k}}: p^{k} \| m\right\}$. If $(m, 2 D Q)=1$, then $m \mid U_{k}$ if and only if $\omega_{m} \mid k$. Also, let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be the distinct roots of $x^{2}-P x+Q=0$. Then for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k}=\frac{\alpha^{k}-\beta^{k}}{\alpha-\beta} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now ready to prove:
Theorem 3. There is an $x_{0}=x_{0}(P, Q)$ such that if $n$ is a natural number and $x \geq x_{0}$, then

$$
\#\left\{m \leq x: \omega_{m}=n\right\} \leq x \cdot \exp \left(-\log x \frac{3+\log _{3} x}{2 \log _{2} x}\right)
$$

Proof. As in Theorem 1, we may assume that $n<x^{3 / 2}$. In fact, if the set in the theorem is not empty, it is possible to show that $n \ll x \log \log x$.

Let $c=1-\left(4+\log _{3} x\right) / 2 \log _{2} x$, and let $x$ be large enough that $c \geq 7 / 8$. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ \omega_{m}=n}} 1 \leq x^{c} \sum_{\omega_{m}=n} m^{-c} \leq x^{c} \sum_{p\left|m \Rightarrow \omega_{p}\right| n} m^{-c}=x^{c} \prod_{\omega_{p} \mid n}\left(1-p^{-c}\right)^{-1}=x^{c} A
$$

say. As before, it suffices to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A=o\left(\log x / \log _{2} x\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c \geq 7 / 8$, we have

$$
\log A=\sum_{\omega_{p} \mid n} p^{-c}+O(1)=\sum_{d \mid n} \sum_{\omega_{p}=d} p^{-c}+O(1) .
$$

The primes $p$ with $\omega_{p}=d$ are divisors of $U_{d}$, which is $O\left(\max \{|\alpha|,|\beta|\}^{d}\right)$ by (23), so there are at most $O(d)$ of them. (The assumptions on $P$ and $Q$ imply that $U_{d} \neq 0$.) Call them $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{t}$, where $0 \leq t \leq \delta d$, for some constant $\delta$ depending only on $P$ and $Q$. Those $p$ with $p \mid 2 D$ contribute at most $O(1)$ to $\log A$, so we may assume the primes $q_{i}$ do not divide $2 D$. Thus, each $q_{i} \equiv \pm 1(\bmod d)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\omega_{p}=d} p^{-c}=\sum_{i=1}^{t} q_{i}^{-c} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{t} 2(k d)^{-c} \leq 2 d^{-c} \sum_{k=1}^{[\delta d]} k^{-c} \ll(1-c)^{-1} d^{1-2 c} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log A \ll(1-c)^{-1} \sum_{d \mid n} d^{1-2 c}<(1-c)^{-1} \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{1-2 c}\right)^{-1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $1-2 c \leq-3 / 4$, we have

$$
\log \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{1-2 c}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{p \mid n} p^{1-2 c}+O(1) \leq \sum_{p \leq 2 \log x} p^{1-2 c}+O(1)
$$

where $x$ is large enough that $\prod_{p \leq 2 \log x} p \geq x^{3 / 2}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{1-2 c}\right)^{-1} \ll \frac{(\log x)^{2-2 c}}{(2-2 c) \log _{2} x} \ll \frac{\log _{2} x}{\log _{3} x} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $x$ is sufficiently large, we have

$$
\prod_{p \mid n}\left(1-p^{1-2 c}\right)^{-1} \leq(\log x)^{1 / 2}
$$

and with (26) we get

$$
\log A \ll \frac{\log _{2} x}{\log _{3} x}(\log x)^{1 / 2}
$$

which is $o\left(\log x / \log _{2} x\right)$.
Theorem 4. For all sufficiently large $x$, depending on the choice of $P, Q$, the number of Lucas pseudoprimes up to $x$ is at most $x L(x)^{-1 / 2}$.
Proof. As in Theorem 2, we will divide the Lucas pseudoprimes $n \leq x$ into several possibly overlapping classes:
(i) $n \leq x L(x)^{-1}$,
(ii) there is a prime $p \mid n$ with $\omega_{p} \leq L(x)$ and $p>L(x)^{3}$,
(iii) there is a prime $p \mid n$ with $\omega_{p}>L(x)$ and $\varepsilon(p)=\varepsilon(n)$,
(iv) there is a prime $p \mid n$ with $\omega_{p}>L(x)$ and $\varepsilon(p) \neq \varepsilon(n)$,
(v) $n>x L(x)^{-1}$ and every prime $p \mid n$ is at most $L(x)^{3}$.

Clearly, the number of $n$ in class (i) is at most $x L(x)^{-1}$.
The number of primes $p$ with $\omega_{p} \leq L(x)$ is

$$
\sum_{m \leq L(x)} \sum_{\omega_{p}=m} 1 \ll \sum_{m \leq L(x)} m<L(x)^{2} .
$$

Therefore the number of Lucas pseudoprimes in class (ii) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p>L(x)^{3} \\ \omega_{p} \leq L(x)}} \frac{x}{p}<x L(x)^{-3} \sum_{\omega_{p} \leq L(x)} 1 \ll x L(x)^{-1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p$ is a prime dividing a Lucas pseudoprime $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv 0(\bmod p), \quad n-\varepsilon(n) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega_{p}\right), \quad\left(p, \omega_{p}\right)=1 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fixed $p$, the numbers $n \leq x$ that satisfy (29) can be split into two cases: those with $\varepsilon(n)=\varepsilon(p)$ and those with $\varepsilon(n)=-\varepsilon(p)$. In the first case, $n=p$ is a solution to (29), but is not a Lucas pseudoprime. Thus, corresponding to a prime $p$ in class (iii) there are at most $x / p \omega_{p}$ Lucas pseudoprimes $n \leq x$. We conclude that the number of Lucas pseudoprimes in class (iii) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \omega_{p}>L(x)}} \frac{x}{p \omega_{p}} \ll \frac{x \log _{2} x}{L(x)} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $p, n$ are as in class (iv) and $n=k p$. From (29) we have

$$
\varepsilon(n) \equiv n=k p \equiv k \varepsilon(p)\left(\bmod \omega_{p}\right)
$$

so that $k \equiv-1\left(\bmod \omega_{p}\right)$. The number of $k \leq x / p$ with $k \equiv-1\left(\bmod \omega_{p}\right)$ is exactly $\left[(x / p+1) / \omega_{p}\right]$, so the number of Lucas pseudoprimes in class (iv) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ \omega_{p}>L(x)}}\left(\frac{x}{p \omega_{p}}+\frac{1}{\omega_{p}}\right) \ll \frac{x \log _{2} x}{L(x)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $n$ in class (v) has a divisor $d$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x / L(x)^{4}<d \leq x / L(x) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in (29), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \equiv 0(\bmod d), \quad n-\varepsilon(n) \equiv 0\left(\bmod \omega_{d}\right), \quad\left(d, \omega_{d}\right)=1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $n$ is in one of two residue classes $\left(\bmod d \omega_{d}\right)$, depending on whether $\varepsilon(n)=1$ or -1 . Therefore, the number of $n$ in class $(\mathrm{v})$ is at most

$$
2 \sum^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{x}{d \omega_{d}}\right) \leq \frac{2 x}{L(x)}+x \sum^{\prime} \frac{2}{d \omega_{d}}=\frac{2 x}{L(x)}+x \sum_{m \leq x} \frac{2}{m} \sum_{\omega_{d}=m}^{\prime} \frac{1}{d}
$$

where $\sum^{\prime}$ means the sum is over $d$ in the range (32). By Theorem 3, and a partial summation argument, the inner sum is at most

$$
\exp \left(-\log x \frac{2+\log _{3} x}{2 \log _{2} x}\right)
$$

uniformly in $m$, provided $x$ is sufficiently large. Therefore, the number of $n$ in class ( v ) is at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot \exp \left(-\log x \frac{1+\log _{3} x}{2 \log _{2} x}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $x$.
Each of the classes has $o\left(x L(x)^{-1 / 2}\right)$ Lucas pseudoprimes, which proves the theorem.
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